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The network of Human Rights Houses is concerned by recent actions on the part of state authorities across western and eastern Europe, the western Balkans, and the Caucasus in response to the escalating COVID-19 crisis. These actions, taken to combat the rising number of infections and deaths associated with COVID-19, raise questions about states’ commitments to civic freedoms and human rights. The undersigned call on all states to maintain a human rights-oriented approach to all decision-making throughout this critical period, including by ensuring the protection of vulnerable and marginalized populations, repealing or reversing COVID-19 response policies at an appropriate time, and immediately ceasing any and all attacks against human rights defenders.

In the face of the spread of the virus, most countries have responded in ways that would have seemed unimaginable only a few months ago. Governments are limiting freedom of expression in an attempt to counter misinformation about the virus. Freedoms of association and assembly are curtailed as governments seek to impose mandatory social distancing to reduce rates of infection. Human rights defenders find their efforts to monitor closed institutions and protect vulnerable populations severely limited. Some are suffering from government efforts to label them enemies of the state for these activities. Many governments are seeking extraordinary powers in order to move swiftly to further combat the spread of a virus which is unprecedented in modern history.

It is in this context that significant leeway is being given to authorities to act quickly and decisively in countering the virus and its effect on our populations. However necessary steps may be, it is equally necessary for states to consider a reminder from the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights this week: “Under international human rights law, emergency legislation and measures should be strictly temporary, limited to addressing the situation at hand, and contain appropriate safeguards. They must remain subject to meaningful legislative and judicial oversight.” Emergency measures cannot infringe on absolute rights such as prohibition of ill-treatment and torture. When declaring a state of emergency, authorities must inform relevant international organisations as to which rights they are derogating and the underlying reasons for doing so. Once the emergency is over, states must provide further notifications.

History reminds us that when responding to a disaster, there is often a tendency towards “over-reach”. Legislation can be overly broad, curtailing rights above and beyond necessity. Emergency powers adopted by authorities can be held long after a threat is diminished. History also sadly reminds us that disasters can provide the pretext for political opportunism, with equally disastrous longer-term consequences.

As such, the network of Human Rights Houses calls on all state authorities to act in an open and transparent manner and provide timely access to information about COVID-19 response actions; cease immediately flagrant violations of human rights; place time limits on emergency legislation and measures; ensure meaningful legislative and judicial oversight and contain appropriate safeguards; and, limit actions to those which address the response to the COVID-19 crisis. We remain supportive of actions to reduce the rate of infection and death associated with the coronavirus. But, we will also document and hold to account those authorities that go beyond what is strictly necessary. As civil society organisations, we will strive to hold authorities accountable to their international obligations and to prevent abuse of emergency powers.
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